Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,900	KO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Craig E. Walter	2188
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Craig E. Walter</u> .	(3)	
(2) Eric Hyman (Reg. No. 30,139).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>09 January 2008</u> .		
"Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .	•	•
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
•		
	•	
•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

Application No. 10/749,900

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant's representative agreed that the IDS filed 28 November 2007 should be replaced with the one sent via facsimile 9 January 2008. The IDS filed 9 January 2008 omits the previously cited TW 526491 reference and replaces it with the corresponding US reference, US Patent 6,697,909. As such, the references cited in the 28 November 2007 IDS have not been considered in favor of the IDS submitted 9 January 2008 The IDS submitted 9 January 2008 was fully considered by Examiner.